

Review

'Should we stop or continue conventional synthetic (including glucocorticoids) and targeted DMARDs before surgery in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases?'

Susan M Goodman ¹, Michael D George²

To cite: Goodman SM, George MD. 'Should we stop or continue conventional synthetic (including glucocorticoids) and targeted DMARDs before surgery in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases?'. *RMD Open* 2020;**6**: e001214. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2020-001214

► Prepublication history and supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2020-001214>).

Received 20 April 2020
Revised 3 June 2020
Accepted 5 June 2020



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Medicine, Hospital for Special Surgery, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, USA

²Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Correspondence to

Susan M Goodman, Department of Medicine, Hospital for Special Surgery, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York 10021, USA; Goodmans@hss.edu

ABSTRACT

Total hip and total knee arthroplasty remain important interventions to treat symptomatic knee and hip damage in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, with little change in utilisation rates despite the increasingly widespread use of potent conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) and targeted DMARDs including Janus kinase inhibitors and biologics. The majority of patients are receiving these immunosuppressing medications and glucocorticoids at the time they present for arthroplasty. There is minimal randomised controlled trial data addressing the use of DMARDs in the perioperative period, yet patients and their physicians face these decisions daily. This paper reviews what is known regarding perioperative management of targeted and csDMARDs and glucocorticoids.

INTRODUCTION

The standard of care for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is to gain control of the disease by escalating or changing disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy until remission or low disease activity is achieved. Currently, most patients with RA are receiving one or more conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARDs) or a combination including a targeted therapy to achieve this goal.¹ The treatment of RA has changed substantially over the past decades. In 1986–1989, 1% of patients with RA used methotrexate as the first-line csDMARD and approximately 2.5% of patients with RA were on a combination of two csDMARDs.² By 2006–2011, 70% of patients were on methotrexate, and 22.5% of patients were on combinations of two to three csDMARDs or a csDMARD and a targeted DMARD.^{2–3} By 2013–2016, 50% of patients with RA undergoing arthroplasty were on targeted DMARDs, 80% were on methotrexate or

Key messages

- Arthroplasty use remains prevalent for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and the majority are receiving biologic and conventional DMARDs and glucocorticoids at the time of surgery.
- Risk of surgical site infection including prosthetic joint infection is multifactorial for patients with RA and includes active RA, smoking, comorbidities, glucocorticoids and immunosuppressive therapy.
- Flares of RA are frequent after arthroplasty, and the link to medication management is not established; patients tend to prioritise avoiding infections over risking flares.
- Current studies of the interval between a biologic infusion and surgery have not demonstrated a differential infection risk for patients receiving recent versus remote infusions.

other csDMARDs and 32% were receiving glucocorticoids at the time of joint replacement surgery.³ While use of both conventional and targeted DMARDs has increased, and the rates of upper extremity and small joint surgery have decreased, the rates of total hip (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) have not.^{2–4} In one recent study using propensity matching and adjusting for disease activity, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) use was not associated with decreased rates of THA or TKA.⁵ Therefore, patients with RA continue to undergo THA and TKA, despite high utilisation of targeted and csDMARDs and glucocorticoids, which most patients are taking at the time of surgery. A careful thorough medical evaluation is also essential in these patients but will not be addressed in this paper.⁶ This paper provides an overview of the current data supporting perioperative management of targeted

and csDMARDs and glucocorticoids and the current recommendations for perioperative medication management. The majority of the data presented comes from studies of patients with RA undergoing joint replacement.

RISK OF INFECTION

Medication management at the time of surgery seeks to balance the risk of infection attributed to targeted and csDMARDs against the risk of flares of inflammatory disease.^{7 8} Patients with RA have an increased risk of infection in general, and this risk is increased in patients treated with certain immunosuppressants, including glucocorticoids, and in patients with severe or active disease.^{7 9–11} Risk associated with disease activity is especially relevant since most patients have active disease at the time of arthroplasty, with a mean Disease Activity Score-28 (DAS28) Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate of 3.7, indicating moderate disease activity, despite a mean disease duration of almost 15 years.³ The majority of prosthetic joint infections (PJI) occur during the first 1–2 years after surgery, with an overall incidence of 1.55%, and are attributed to infections introduced at the time of surgery or as a result of delayed wound healing; the incidence of late infections is significantly lower at 0.46%.¹² Patients with RA have a 50–80% greater risk of PJI than patients with osteoarthritis, and also have greater risk beyond the early period.^{9 13 14} For the purposes of perioperative management, however, early infections are most relevant since delayed infections are more commonly related to subsequent episodes of sepsis or bacteremia and are not expected to be influenced by perioperative medication management decisions.¹⁵

Multiple other factors contribute to infection risk, such as age, comorbidities (eg, obesity, smoking, diabetes) and the volume of surgeries performed by the hospital and surgeon (figure 1).¹⁶ Risks for infection are additive. For instance, there is a fourfold increase in the risk of PJI with a body mass index (BMI) >40 kg/m² (OR 4.13, 95% CI 1.3 to 12.88; p=0.01). If the patient with a BMI >40 kg/m² is also an active smoker, the risk is higher (OR 7.52, 95% CI 1.69 to 33.4, p=0.04). *Staphylococcus aureus* colonisation is increased in patients with RA on biologics (OR 1.80, 95% CI 1.007 to 3.22, p=0.04) and *S. aureus* colonisation increases the risk of PJI (OR 2.36, 95% CI 1.13 to 4.88, p=0.02).¹⁷ If the colonised patient is also a smoker with a BMI ≥30 kg/m², the risk increases further (OR 12.76–66.16, p=0.017).¹⁸ While medication management around the time of surgery is of particular interest because of the potential for intervention, it is important to remember that infection risk in patients with RA is multifactorial.

POSTOPERATIVE FLARES

Flares are common after THA and TKA; 63% of patients with RA report a flare within 6 weeks of surgery, and a third were rated as severe by those with flares.^{3 19} Patients who reported flares had higher disease activity than those without and a similar pattern as patients who had not undergone surgery, and patients in both surgical and non-surgical groups self-manage their flares and rarely consult their rheumatologist.^{20–22} Patients describe flare events as severe and disabling, and flares are associated with radiographic progression.^{21 23} However, using a measure commonly used to assess THA and TKA outcomes, the Hip Disability (HOOS) and Knee Injury OA Outcomes Scores (KOOS), flares in the post-operative period were not an independent




Figure 1 Risk factors for postoperative infection. DMARDs, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; *S. aureus*, *Staphylococcus Aureus*.

risk factor for pain and function measured at 1 year after surgery.²⁴ Baseline DAS28 predicted 1-year HOOS/KOOS pain and function; each 1 unit increase in DAS28 worsened 1-year pain by 2.41 (SE=1.05, $p=0.02$) and 1-year function by 4.96 (SE=1.17, $p=0.0001$), suggesting that active sustained disease is a greater problem than flares.²⁴ In addition while numerically more flarers used targeted DMARDs, stopping them did not predict flares, and continuing methotrexate in this cohort was not protective.³ Optimisation prior to surgery should include controlling RA disease activity when possible. Moreover, when a patient panel comprised patients with RA and juvenile arthritis were convened to advise the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) and the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons (AAHKS) in the formulation of a guideline for perioperative medication management, they were unanimous in their opinion that any potential increase in infection risk was worse than a flare of their disease.^{24 25} Taken together, these data suggest that flares in the postoperative period may not be linked to medication management and that patients prioritise the risk of infection over flares after arthroplasty. **Table 1** summarises the medications in current use in rheumatology practice and recommendations included in the ACR/AAHKS Guideline.²⁶

TNF INHIBITORS

Patients receiving TNFi have an increased risk of infection,¹¹ and studies have demonstrated that patients undergoing TKA or THA who receive TNFi were at an increased risk of infection compared to those with no TNFi exposure.^{11 27} Using pooled data in a meta-analysis representing 3681 patients with and 4310 without a recent exposure to TNFis at the time of surgery, the TNFi exposed group had higher risk of developing a surgical site infection compared with patients not exposed to TNFi (OR 2.47, 95% CI 1.66 to 3.68, $p<0.0001$).²⁸ However, the included studies compared those whose disease was severe enough to treat with a TNFi compared with those who were likely to have milder disease, and disease activity and severity are also risk factors for infection.^{7 29 30} Administrative data sets contain billing data for TNFi infusions that permits the time between the TNFi dose and surgery to be accurately determined and compared, so equivalent groups could be analyzed. There was no increase in infection within 30 days of surgery in patients who received infliximab <4 weeks prior to surgery compared to 8–12 weeks (propensity adjusted OR 0.90, 95% CI 0.60 to 1.34). Similarly, there was no increase in the rate of PJI in patients receiving infliximab infusions <4 weeks versus 8–12 weeks (3.1 vs 2.9 per 100 person-years, HR 0.98, 95% CI 0.52 to 1.87) (**figure 2**).³¹ Using the Danish arthroplasty registry and linking to the DANBIO rheumatology register that contains information about RA disease and severity and biologics use, patients with RA had an increased risk of PJI (confounder adjusted sub-HR (SHR)=1.46 (1.13–1.88)).³⁰ However, treatment with biologic DMARDs

did not significantly increase PJI risk (SHR=1.61 (0.70–3.69)).³⁰ Moreover, a meta-analysis demonstrated that postoperative infection risk was not decreased in those patients stopping TNFi prior to orthopaedic surgery.³² Less data exist for other surgery types, many of which may be associated with higher risks of complications. One study of patients with inflammatory bowel disease undergoing abdominal surgery found an increased rate of infectious complications (although not serious infections) in patients receiving a TNFi,³³ but a similar study found that use of biologics use was not associated with wound complications and that the timing of biologics before surgery was not associated with outcomes.³⁴ Whether data in patients undergoing abdominal surgery to treat an inflammatory gastrointestinal condition are applicable to patients with RA is uncertain. A recent study using administrative data evaluated patients with RA undergoing hip fracture repair, abdominopelvic surgery, or cardiac surgery and found no increase in the risk of 30-day readmission, hospitalised infection, pneumonia or wound complications in patients receiving a TNFi or in patients receiving a non-TNFi targeted DMARD compared with patients receiving methotrexate without one of these therapies.³⁵ Similar to what has been seen in studies of arthroplasty, the timing of infliximab infusions prior to surgery was not associated with adverse outcomes.³⁵

While it remains uncertain whether interruption of a TNFi before surgery can improve postoperative outcomes, the ACR/AAHKS guideline for patients undergoing elective TKA and THA achieved consensus between the surgeons, rheumatologists and infectious disease specialists, recommending that all biologics be withheld for a short duration with surgery planned for the end of the dosing cycle. This recommendation assumes that high doses of medications suppress the immune system more than lower doses and that the dosing interval better reflects the duration of immunosuppression than the blood half-life. Medications can be restarted at approximately 14 days after surgery when the wound has healed, sutures and staples are out, there is no swelling or drainage and there are no clinical signs of infection.²⁶

OTHER TARGETED DMARDs

Less data exist to inform management of other targeted DMARDs. An administrative claims study examining 1958 patients with RA receiving abatacept infusions before arthroplasty found no difference in postoperative infection in patients who received abatacept 4–8 weeks (one dosing interval) versus <4 weeks before surgery (OR 0.93 (0.65–1.34) for 30-day hospitalised infection, HR 1.29 (0.62–2.69) for PJI within 1 year).³⁶ A previous registry described low infection risk for abatacept treated patients and no relationship between time from infusion to surgery.³⁷ An additional study using the same data sources examined a cohort of 9911 patients with a prescription or infusion of

Table 1 Medications included in the American College of Rheumatology/American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Guideline for the Perioperative Management of Antirheumatic Medication in Patients With Rheumatic Diseases Undergoing Elective Total Hip or Total Knee Arthroplasty*

DMARDs: CONTINUE these medications through surgery	Dosing interval	Continue/Withhold
Methotrexate	Weekly	Continue
Sulfasalazine	One or two times per day	Continue
Hydroxychloroquine	One or two times per day	Continue
Leflunomide (Arava)	Daily	Continue
Doxycycline	Daily	Continue
BIOLOGICS: STOP these medications prior to surgery and schedule surgery at the end of the dosing cycle. RESUME medications at minimum 14 days after surgery in the absence of wound healing problems, surgical site infection or systemic infection.		
	Dosing interval	Schedule Surgery (relative to last biologic dose administered)
Adalimumab (Humira) 40 mg	Every 2 weeks	Week 3
Etanercept (Enbrel) 50 mg or 25 mg	Weekly or two times per week	Week 2
Golimumab (Simponi) 50 mg	Every 4 weeks (SQ) or Every 8 weeks (intravenous)	Week 5 Week 9
Infliximab (Remicade) 3 mg/kg	Every 4, 6 or 8 weeks	Week 5, 7 or 9
Abatacept (Orencia) weight-based 500 mg; intravenous 1000 mg; SQ 125 mg	Monthly (intravenous) or Weekly (SQ)	Week 5 Week 2
Rituximab (Rituxan) 1000 mg	2 Doses 2 weeks apart every 4–6 months	Month 7
Tocilizumab (Actemra) intravenous 4 mg/kg; SQ 162 mg	Every week (SQ) or every 4 weeks (intravenous)	Week 3 Week 5
Anakinra (Kineret) SQ 100 mg	Daily	Day 2
Secukinumab (Cosentyx) 150 mg	Every 4 weeks	Week 5
Ustekinumab (Stelara) 45 mg	Every 12 weeks	Week 13
Belimumab (Benlysta) 10 mg/kg	Every 4 weeks	Week 5
Tofacitinib (Xeljanz) 5 mg: STOP this medication 7 days prior to surgery.	Daily or two times per day	7 days after last dose
SEVERE SLE-SPECIFIC MEDICATIONS: CONTINUE these medications in the perioperative period.		
	Dosing interval	Continue/Withhold
Mycophenolate	Two times per day	Continue
Azathioprine	Daily or two times per day	Continue
Cyclosporine	Two times per day	Continue
Tacrolimus	Two times per day (intravenous and PO)	Continue
NOT-SEVERE SLE: DISCONTINUE these medications in the perioperative period		
	Dosing interval	Continue/Withhold
Mycophenolate	Two times per day	Withhold
Azathioprine	Daily or two times per day	Withhold
Cyclosporine	Two times per day	Withhold
Tacrolimus	Two times per day (intravenous and PO)	Continue

Dosing intervals obtained from prescribing information provided online by pharmaceutical companies.

*Reprinted with permission from Goodman SM, Springer B, Guyatt G, *et al.* American College of Rheumatology/American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Guideline for the Perioperative Management of Anti-rheumatic Medication in Patients with Rheumatic Diseases Undergoing Elective Total Hip or Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2017 Aug 69(8):1538–51.

DMARDs, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; PO, per os; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SQ, subcutaneous.




Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier curves comparing incidence of prosthetic joint infection in patients with RA undergoing total hip or knee arthroplasty based on the time between the last infliximab infusion and surgery (infiximab stop time)³¹ (Reproduced with permission). RA, rheumatoid arthritis.

abatacept, adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, rituximab or tocilizumab who underwent THA or TKA and found no difference in hospitalised infection, PJI or readmission between the biologics (figure 3).³⁸ Although the study cohort contained few rituximab- and tocilizumab-treated patients, registries have provided additional data. Data from patients treated with tocilizumab who underwent surgery were collected from a routine care registry. The mean interval between surgery and the last tocilizumab infusion was 4.94 ± 1.74 weeks, with few complications reported.³⁹ However, surgeons may not be aware of the direct effect of tocilizumab on the C reactive protein and blunting of the temperature curve, requiring greater vigilance in diagnosing infection postoperatively.⁴⁰ A total of 201 patients in a rituximab registry underwent surgery, 58% were orthopaedic procedures and 9 patients (6.7%) had complications. There was no significant difference in the median interval between the rituximab infusion and surgery for patients with




Figure 3 Associations between the type of biologic a patient was receiving and risks of postoperative outcomes from inverse probability weighted models³¹ (Reproduced with permission).

complications (6.43 months (IQR 4.46–7.6 months)) and without (6.49 months (IQR 3.91–8.74 months)) ($p=0.99$). Included cases were few, and no information regarding immunoglobulin levels was available.⁴¹ As low immunoglobulin levels increase infection risk, measuring immunoglobulin levels prior to surgery and replacing when low may be more relevant in mitigating infection risk than extending the interval between surgery and the rituximab infusion.⁴² For non-surgical patients with RA in the National Databank for Rheumatic Diseases, there was no difference in the risk of serious infections in 7210 patients receiving TNFi compared with 1676 patients on non-TNFi biologics.⁴³ There is little information regarding patients receiving Janus kinase inhibitors such as tofacitinib undergoing arthroplasty, although again in non-surgical studies, infection risk seems comparable to that seen with biologics.⁴⁴

The similarity in infection risk among the biologics has led to the recommendation to plan surgery at the end of the dosing cycle and minimise the time the medications are withheld, as for the TNFi.²⁶ Given the short half-life of tofacitinib, recommendations were to withhold treatment for 7 days before surgery.

METHOTREXATE AND OTHER csDMARDs

Methotrexate, the anchor drug in RA, was studied in a randomised controlled trial of patients with RA undergoing elective orthopaedic surgery, with 388 patients randomised into three groups, and complications including infections or revisions compared between groups. In Group A (continued methotrexate), 2% of patients had complications, 15% of Group B (withheld methotrexate) and 10% of 228 patients in Group C (were never on the drug) had complications. Flares were also significantly lower in Group A.⁴⁵ In addition, the overall safety profile of methotrexate has recently been confirmed in a non-rheumatic disease population of diabetics and those with metabolic syndrome, with no difference in serious infections (HR 1.02, 95%CI 0.69 to 1.50) and little difference in infection risk overall (HR 1.15, 95%CI 1.01 to 1.30).⁴⁶ Finally, methotrexate increases the levels of adenosine, and studies in animal models demonstrate that adenosine engages receptors on cells and promotes wound healing, adding a theoretical framework to support the continuation of methotrexate at the time of surgery.⁴⁷

There is little surgical safety data for other csDMARDs, such as sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine or leflunomide. A retrospective study of 367 joint surgeries in 204 patients with RA, most of whom were treated with csDMARDs, demonstrated no increase in surgical site infection, and sulfasalazine appeared to decrease infection in another study.^{48–50} Given the overall safety profile of the csDMARDs, the ACR/AAHKS perioperative management guideline recommends continuing these medications through surgery.²⁶

Other immunosuppressants such as azathioprine, mycophenolate, cyclosporine and tacrolimus are used more commonly in autoimmune rheumatic diseases other than RA (such as systemic lupus erythematosus). These therapies may be associated with greater infection risk than methotrexate, but there are very little data on how these treatments might affect postoperative infection risk. One Medicare study of 3339 solid organ transplant patients undergoing arthroplasty who were frequently treated with one or more of these therapies had a greater risk of pneumonia, sepsis and periprosthetic infection compared to controls (2.4% vs 1.0%), but the specific contribution of immunosuppression to this risk could not be determined.⁵¹ Given this uncertainty, ACR/AAHKS guidelines recommend continuing these therapies with severe systemic autoimmune disease (in whom the risk of stopping therapy would be high) and stopping therapy for 1 week prior to surgery in patients with more mild disease, in consultation with the patients treating rheumatologist.²⁶

GLUCOCORTICOIDs

Glucocorticoid therapy is widely used in rheumatic diseases. Overall, 65% of patients with RA receive glucocorticoids, and a recent surgical series revealed that 87% of patients undergoing THA or TKA were given glucocorticoids at the time of surgery.^{3 52} Infection is a well-known risk for patients with RA outside the surgical setting, for whom the risk of serious infection is greatest in those with active disease, or with a high exposure to glucocorticoids. In a study using a model of glucocorticoid use that incorporates information relevant to patients with RA about duration, intensity and timing of glucocorticoid exposure,^{7 43 53} high levels of both recent and cumulative glucocorticoid use increased infection risk (OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.22 to 1.45, $p<0.001$). Glucocorticoids have also been shown to be associated with greater infection risk in patients with RA undergoing arthroplasty, especially at higher doses. In one study, the risk for infection was higher with a glucocorticoid dose >15 mg.⁵⁴ In a study of patients with RA undergoing elective THA and TKA using large insurance data sets that include both infusion and prescription data, glucocorticoids were associated with a dose-dependent increase in postoperative risk for PJI and serious infection. Propensity-weighted models showed that use of more than 10 mg of glucocorticoids per day (vs no glucocorticoid use) resulted in a predicted risk for hospitalised infection of 13.25% (95%CI 9.72% to 17.81%) (vs 6.78%) and a predicted 1-year cumulative incidence of PJI of 3.83% (95%CI 2.13% to 6.87%) (vs 2.09%).³⁸ In addition, using data from a rheumatology registry linked to an arthroplasty registry revealed that glucocorticoid exposure was a risk factor for PJI (HR 2.87, 95% CI 1.12 to 7.34), and both glucocorticoids and increasing disease activity measured as DAS28 (HR 1.49, 95% CI 1.01 to 2.20) were risk factors for mortality.³⁰ Glucocorticoids at doses >5 mg/day were also a risk factor for postoperative mortality or




Figure 4 Flow diagram outlining approach to perioperative management of immunosuppression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing elective hip or knee arthroplasty. csDMARDs, conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs³⁸ (Reproduced with permission).

readmission in a study of patients with RA undergoing hip fracture, abdominopelvic or major cardiac surgery.³¹ These data suggest that minimising glucocorticoids in the months before elective surgery may improve outcomes and that delaying elective surgery may be appropriate in some situations, especially for patients receiving high doses of glucocorticoids.

Long-term glucocorticoid therapy cannot be abruptly stopped during a physiologic stress such as surgery, however, out of concern for adrenal insufficiency causing hypotension and even death. The question for clinicians is whether to administer supraphysiologic doses ('stress dose steroids') at the time of surgery to prevent adrenal insufficiency or continue the usual dose; however, stress dosing, or supraphysiologic doses meant to mimic the response to stress, remains prevalent.⁵⁵ There are little data to guide the need for stress dosing. In a systematic review that included seven observational cohorts and two randomised controlled trials and included 315 patients and 389 operations, there was no haemodynamic difference between those receiving stress dosing compared with those who did not, and measuring cortisol levels was not helpful.⁵⁵ Given the potential for an increase in

infection risk and little data to support its use, the ACR/AAHKS guideline recommends giving only the usual daily dose of glucocorticoids on the day of surgery for adults receiving chronic steroids for their rheumatic condition at the time of elective TKA and THA.²⁶

CONCLUSION

Patients with RA continue to undergo and benefit from THA and TKA, and the majority receive csDMARDs, glucocorticoids or targeted therapies at the time of surgery. A general approach to perioperative management before elective THA and TKA is summarised in figure 4. Current practice supports continuing csDMARDs through surgery. Glucocorticoids should be tapered to at least less than 15 mg prior to surgery, and lower if possible. At the time of surgery, glucocorticoids should be continued at their presurgical dose rather than using 'stress doses'. While observational studies have not shown clear differences in the rate of postoperative infection in patients who stop biologics before surgery, concerns remain given known risks associated with these therapies; performing surgery at the end of the biologic dosing cycle and

restarting at approximately 2 weeks after the wound has closed achieved consensus support from the guideline development panel of the ACR/AAHKS.

Funding The authors report grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb, personal fees from AbbVie, Expert witness testimony, grants and personal fees from Novartis, and personal fees from American College of Rheumatology, outside the submitted work.

Competing interests SMG has consulted for Novartis and UCB, and has research funding from Novartis, Pfizer and Horizon Pharma. MDG has consulted for AbbVie and has research funds from Bristol Myers Squibb.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Disclaimer The views expressed in the submitted article are of the authors' own and are not an official position of the institution.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Susan M Goodman MD <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1197-7864>

REFERENCES

- Davis JM, Matteson EL. My treatment approach to rheumatoid arthritis. *Mayo Clinic Proc* 2012;87:659–73.
- Nikiphorou E, Carpenter L, Morris S, et al. Hand and foot surgery rates in rheumatoid arthritis have declined from 1986 to 2011, but large-joint replacement rates remain unchanged: results from two UK inception cohorts. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2014;66:1081–9.
- Goodman SM, Bykerk VP, DiCarlo E, et al. Flares in patients with rheumatoid arthritis after total hip and total knee arthroplasty: rates, characteristics, and risk factors. *J Rheumatol* 2018;45:604–11.
- Cordtz R, Hawley S, Prieto-Alhambra D, et al. Reduction in upper limb joint surgery among rheumatoid arthritis patients: an interrupted time-series analysis using Danish health care registers. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2020;72:274–82.
- Hawley S, Ali MS, Cordtz R, et al. Impact of TNF inhibitor therapy on joint replacement rates in rheumatoid arthritis: A matched cohort analysis of BSRBR-RA UK registry data. *Rheumatology* 2019;58:1168–75.
- Wolfe J, Wolfe J, Visser HJ. Perioperative management of the rheumatoid patient. *Clin Podiatr Med Surg* 2018;36:115–30.
- Au K, Reed G, Curtis JR, et al. High disease activity is associated with an increased risk of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:785–91.
- Richardson SS, Kahlenberg CA, Goodman SM, et al. Inflammatory arthritis is a risk factor for multiple complications after total hip arthroplasty: a population-based comparative study of 68,348 patients. *J Arthroplasty* 2019;34:1150,1154.e2.
- Ravi B, Croxford R, Hollands S, et al. Increased risk of complications following total joint arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2014;66:254–63.
- Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, et al. Predictors of infection in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:2294–300.
- Singh JA, Cameron C, Noorbaloochi S, et al. Risk of serious infection in biological treatment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2015;386:258–65.
- Kurtz SM, Lau EC, Son M, et al. Are we winning or losing the battle with periprosthetic joint infection: trends in periprosthetic joint infection and mortality risk for the medicare population. *J Arthroplasty* 2018;33:3238–45.
- Chen J, Cui Y, Li X, et al. Risk factors for deep infection after total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2013;133:675–87.
- Schrama JC, Fenstad AM, Dale H, et al. Increased risk of revision for infection in rheumatoid arthritis patients with total hip replacements. *Acta Orthop* 2015;86:469–76.
- Beam E, Osmon D. Prosthetic joint infection update. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2018;32:843–59.
- Ravi B, Croxford R, Austin PC, et al. Increased surgeon experience with rheumatoid arthritis reduces the risk of complications following total joint arthroplasty. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2014;66:488–96.
- Goodman SM, Nocon AA, Selemon NA, et al. Increased Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage rates in rheumatoid arthritis patients on biologic therapy. *J Arthroplasty* 2019;34:954–8.
- Maoz G, Maoz G, Phillips M, et al. The Otto Aufranc award: modifiable versus nonmodifiable risk factors for infection after hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2015;473:453–9.
- Kuettel D, Primdahl J, Weber U, et al. Pain and self-reported swollen joints are main drivers of patient-reported flares in rheumatoid arthritis. Results from a 12-months' observational study. *J Rheumatol* 2019; jrheum.190760.
- Myasoedova E, Crowson C, Giblon R, et al. Optimization of flare management in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rheumatol* 2019;38:3025–32.
- Smolen JS, Pedersen R, Jones H, et al. Impact of flare on radiographic progression after etanercept continuation, tapering or withdrawal in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2020;59:153–64.
- McWilliams DF, Rahman S, James RJ, et al. Disease activity flares and pain flares in an early rheumatoid arthritis inception cohort; characteristics, antecedents and sequelae. *BMC Rheumatol* 2019;3:49.
- Hewlett S, Sanderson T, May J, et al. 'I'm hurting, I want to kill myself': rheumatoid arthritis flare is more than a high joint count—an international patient perspective on flare where medical help is sought. *Rheumatology* 2012;51:69–76.
- Goodman SM, Mirza SZ, DiCarlo EF, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis flares after total hip and total knee arthroplasty: outcomes at one year. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2020.
- Goodman SM, Miller AS, Turgunbaev M, et al. Clinical practice guidelines: incorporating input from a patient panel. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2017;69:1125–30.
- Goodman SM, Springer B, Guyatt G, et al. 2017 American College of Rheumatology/American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons guideline for the perioperative management of anti-rheumatic medication in patients with rheumatic diseases undergoing elective total hip or total knee arthroplasty. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017;69:1538–51.
- Bongartz T, Halligan CS, Osmon DR, et al. Incidence and risk factors of prosthetic joint infection after total hip or knee replacement in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2008;59:1713–20.
- Goodman SM, Menon I, Christos PJ, et al. Management of perioperative tumour necrosis factor α inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis patients undergoing arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatology (Oxford, Engl)* 2016;55:573–82.
- Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, et al. Frequency of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with controls: a population-based study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:2287–93.
- Cordtz RL, Zobbe K, Hojgaard P, et al. Predictors of revision, prosthetic joint infection and mortality following total hip or total knee arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a nationwide cohort study using Danish healthcare registers. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:281–8.
- George MD, Baker JF, Hsu JY, et al. Perioperative timing of infliximab and the risk of serious infection after elective hip and knee arthroplasty. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2017;69:1845–54.
- Mabile C, Degboe Y, Constantin A, et al. Infectious risk associated to orthopaedic surgery for rheumatoid arthritis patients treated by anti-TNF α . *Joint Bone Spine* 2016;84:441–5.
- Syed A, Cross RK, Flasar MH. Anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy is associated with infections after abdominal surgery in Crohn's disease patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108:583–93.
- Waterman M, Xu W, Dinani A, et al. Preoperative biological therapy and short-term outcomes of abdominal surgery in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 2013;62:387–94.
- George MD, Baker JF, Winthrop KL, et al. Immunosuppression and the risk of readmission and mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing hip fracture, abdominopelvic and cardiac surgery. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79:573–80.

- 36 George MD, Baker JF, Winthrop K, *et al.* Timing of abatacept before elective arthroplasty and risk of postoperative outcomes. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2019;71:1224–33.
- 37 Latourte A, Gottenberg J, Luxembourger C, *et al.* Safety of surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated by abatacept: data from the French Orencia in rheumatoid arthritis registry. *Rheumatology (Oxford, Engl)* 2017;56:629–37.
- 38 George MD, Baker JF, Winthrop K, *et al.* Risk of biologics and glucocorticoids in patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing arthroplasty: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2019;170:825–36.
- 39 Morel J, Locci M, Banal F, *et al.* Safety of surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tocilizumab: data from the French (REGistry -RoAcTEmra) regate registry. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2020;38:405–410.
- 40 Hirao M, Hashimoto J, Tsuboi H, *et al.* Laboratory and febrile features after joint surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tocilizumab. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:654–7.
- 41 Godot S, Gottenberg JE, Paternotte S, *et al.* Safety of surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated by rituximab in routine care: data from the French air registry. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;71:196.1–196.
- 42 Md Yusuf MY, Vital EM, McElvenny DM, *et al.* Predicting severe infection and effects of hypogammaglobulinemia during therapy with rituximab in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2019;71:1812–23.
- 43 Ozen G, Pedro S, England BR, *et al.* Risk of serious infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with biologic versus nonbiologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. *ACR Open Rheumatol* 2019;1:424–32.
- 44 Pawar A, Desai RJ, Solomon DH, *et al.* Risk of serious infections in tocilizumab versus other biologic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a multidatabase cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78:456–64.
- 45 Grennan DM, Gray J, Loudon J, *et al.* Methotrexate and early postoperative complications in patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing elective orthopaedic surgery. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;60:214–7.
- 46 Solomon DH, Glynn RJ, Karlson EW, *et al.* Adverse effects of low-dose methotrexate: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2020;172:369–80.
- 47 Valls MD, Cronstein BN, Montesinos MC. Adenosine receptor agonists for promotion of dermal wound healing. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2009;77:1117–24.
- 48 Escalante A, Beardmore TD. Risk factors for early wound complications after orthopedic surgery for rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1995;10:1844–51.
- 49 Bibbo C. Wound healing complications and infection following surgery for rheumatoid arthritis. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2007;12:509–24.
- 50 Tanaka N, Sakahashi H, Sato E, *et al.* Examination of the risk of continuous leflunomide treatment on the incidence of infectious complications after joint arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *JCR: J Clin Rheumatol* 2003;9:115–18.
- 51 Klement MR, Penrose CT, Bala A, *et al.* How do previous solid organ transplant recipients fare after primary total knee arthroplasty? *J Arthroplasty* 2016;31:609,615.e1.
- 52 Caplan L, Wolfe F, Russell AS, *et al.* Corticosteroid use in rheumatoid arthritis: prevalence, predictors, correlates, and outcomes. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:696–705.
- 53 Mehta B, Pedro S, Ozen G, *et al.* Serious infection risk in rheumatoid arthritis compared with non-inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: a US national cohort study. *RMD Open* 2019;5:e000935.
- 54 Somayaji R, Barnabe C, Martin L. Risk factors for infection following total joint arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis. *Open Rheumatol J* 2013;7:119–24.
- 55 Marik PE, Varon J. Requirement of perioperative stress doses of corticosteroids: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Surg* 2008;143:1222–6.